

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 SCOTT SALLEY,

13 Defendant.
14

Case No. CR20-220-RSL

ORDER GRANTING
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
CONTINUE TRIAL AND
PRETRIAL MOTIONS DUE
DATE

15 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's "Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial
16 and Pretrial Motions Dates" (Dkt. # 38). Having considered the facts set forth in the motion,
17 and defendant's knowing and voluntary waiver (Dkt. # 39), the Court finds as follows:

18 1. The Court adopts the facts set forth in the unopposed motion: in particular, that
19 (a) the defense requires time to investigate in order to determine whether to proceed to trial in
20 this case, (b) the government has provided substantial discovery to defendant and defendant has
21 provided an evaluation to the government, (c) the parties require additional time for
22 negotiations, which may also involve negotiation or partial resolution in state court, and
23 (d) defense counsel is unavailable on the current trial date due to a conflicting trial. The Court
24 accordingly finds that a failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel, and any potential
25 future counsel, the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
26 exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

27 2. The Court finds that a failure to grant a continuance would likely result in a
28 miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL - 1

